

~~SECRET~~

2 March 1956

\*OGC Has Reviewed\*

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, FE

ATTENTION:

25X1

SUBJECT:

Travel Order FE-756-56

25X1

1. This memorandum is in confirmation of our telephone conversation of 24 February and previous conversations on this subject. Since [ ] is both an employee and the wife of an employee, the possibility of her advance return as a dependent presents itself. We understand that you now wish to bring her into Washington for an extended period of TTY and that, therefore, there is no necessity for considering the advance return provisions.

2. There is no legal objection to the issuance of this travel order provided that two corrections are made. There is no authority for granting subject home leave at this time. See OGC opinion re home leave dated 1 August 1955. Consequently, the purpose should be stated as TTY, annual leave. Since subject is being returned to the United States as an employee, it is inappropriate and confusing to make any reference to those provisions of Agency regulations concerning the advance return of dependents. Consequently, the phrase in the special provisions paragraph "Authority in connection with advance return - [ ] should be stricken.

25X1

25X1

[ ]  
Assistant General Counsel

OGC:RPB:mz

Distribution:

- Orig. & 1 - Addressee
- 1 - Subject ✓
- 1 - Signer
- 1 - OGC Chrono

~~SECRET~~